

:1:
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2120 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 692 OF 2005
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1823 OF 2004
IN
SUIT NO.1765 OF 2004

Boiler Tube Co. Pvt. Ltd.

.. Applicant

V/s

Mitsui & Co. (Canada) & Anr.

.. Respondents

None for the Applicant
Shri.V. Iayyar i/b. Rajan Associates for the
Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &

S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 10TH MARCH, 2006.

P.C.:

1. None appears for the Applicant. Heard the learned Counsel for the Respondents. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 72 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause

(a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)